

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/719,869	11/21/2003	Cynthia Kae Florkey	LUC-439/Florkey 13-7-3	3057
32205 759 CARMEN B PA	90 03/13/2007 TTI & ASSOCIATES, LI	ıc	EXAM	IINER
ONE NORTH LASALLE STREET 44TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60602			LE, DANH C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
SHORTENED STATUTORY I	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTUS		03/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/719,869	FLORKEY ET AL.				
omee Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INO DATE - CALL	DANH C. LE	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-5 and 25-31 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) 5 and 25-31 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, profix parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 oplication. on from consideration.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•				
	animer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S Reset and Trademot Office.	4) X Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

SET I

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshihara (US 6,643,291) in view of Haga (US 20030231338) and Mani (US 2002/0181694).

As to claim 1, Yoshihara teaches an apparatus (figures 1, 24-28 and their descriptions), comprising:

a gateway component that provides an identifier of a service provider to a sender of a message through employment of a user address associated with a recipient of the message.

Yoshihara fails to teach the identified is a logo associated with the service provider and the user address comprises a directory number and universal Resource indicators. Haga teaches the identified is a logo associated with the service provider (paragraph 588). Mani teaches the user address comprises a directory number and universal Resource indicators (paragraph 47, 52). Therefore, it would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Haga and Mani into the system of Yoshihara in order to identify the domain server.

As to claim 2, Yoshihara teaches the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the service provider is associated with the recipient of the message, wherein the gateway component determines the identifier

of the service provider associated with the recipient of the message through employment of the user address associated with the recipient (figures 1, 24-28 and their descriptions).

As to claim 3, Yoshihara teaches the apparatus of claim 2, wherein the gateway component determines an indication of a text-delivery network associated with the service provider, wherein the gateway component provides the indication of the text-delivery network to the sender (figures 49, 50 and their descriptions).

2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshihara, Haga and Mani in view of Lasenski (US 20050058260).

As to claim 4, Yoshihara and Haga teaches the apparatus of claim 3, Yoshihara and Haga fails to teach the indication of the text-delivery network comprises a Universal Resource Locator (URL) associated with the text-delivery network, wherein the gateway component provides the Universal Resource Locator to the sender to allow for an initiation of the message by the sender. Lasenski teaches a Universal Resource Locator (URL) associated with the text-delivery network, wherein the gateway component provides the Universal Resource Locator to the sender to allow for an

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Lasenski into the system of Yoshihara and Haga in order to identifies the location in server system of the message to be accessed.

SET II

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

 Yoshihara (US 6,643,291) in view of Haga (US 20030231338) and Moyano (US 2002/0191596).

As to claim 1, Yoshihara teaches an apparatus (figures 1, 24-28 and their descriptions), comprising:

a gateway component that provides an identifier of a service provider to a sender of a message through employment of a user address associated with a recipient of the message.

Yoshihara fails to teach the identified is a logo associated with the service provider and the user address comprises a directory number and universal Resource

indicators. Haga teaches the identified is a logo associated with the service provider (paragraph 588). Moyano teaches the user address comprises a directory number and universal Resource indicators (paragraph 157). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Haga and Moyano into the system of Yoshihara in order to identify the domain server.

SET III

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

 Yoshihara (US 6,643,291) in view of Haga (US 20030231338) and Allison (US 6,819,932).

As to claim 1, Yoshihara teaches an apparatus (figures 1, 24-28 and their descriptions), comprising:

a gateway component that provides an identifier of a service provider to a sender of a message through employment of a user address associated with a recipient of the message.

Art Unit: 2617

Yoshihara fails to teach the identified is a logo associated with the service provider and the user address comprises a directory number and universal Resource indicators. Haga teaches the identified is a logo associated with the service provider (paragraph 588). Allison teaches the user address comprises a directory number and universal Resource indicators (col.9, line 11-24). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Haga and Allison into the system of Yoshihara in order to identify the domain server.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5, 25-31 are allowed in the previous Office Action.

Dependent claims 25-31 are allowable for the same reason.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANH C. LE whose telephone number is 571-272-7868. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WILLIAM TROST can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,869

Art Unit: 2617

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

March 09, 2006

DANH LE

PRIMARY EXAMINER